

The Smooth Hom-Stack of an Orbifold



David Michael Roberts and Raymond F. Vozzo

Abstract For a compact manifold M and a differentiable stack \mathcal{X} presented by a Lie groupoid X , we show the Hom-stack $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(M, \mathcal{X})$ is presented by a Fréchet–Lie groupoid $\text{Map}(M, X)$ and so is an infinite-dimensional differentiable stack. We further show that if \mathcal{X} is an orbifold, presented by a proper étale Lie groupoid, then $\text{Map}(M, X)$ is proper étale and so presents an infinite-dimensional orbifold.

This note serves to announce a generalisation of the authors’ work [8], which showed that the smooth loop stack of a differentiable stack is an infinite-dimensional differentiable stack, to more general mapping stacks where the source stack is a compact manifold (or more generally a compact manifold with corners). We apply this construction to differentiable stacks that are smooth orbifolds, that is, they can be presented by proper étale Lie groupoids (see Definition 9).

Existing work on mapping spaces of orbifolds has been considered in the case of C^k maps [4], of Sobolev maps [10] and smooth maps [3]; in the latter case several different notions of smooth orbifold maps are considered, from the point of view of orbifolds described by orbifold charts. In all these cases, some sort of orbifold structure has been found (for instance, Banach or Fréchet orbifolds).

Noohi [6] solved the problem of constructing a topological mapping stack between more general *topological* stacks, when the source stack has a presentation by a compact topological groupoid. See [8] for further references and discussion.

We take as given the definition of Lie groupoid in what follows, using finite-dimensional manifolds unless otherwise specified. Manifolds will be considered as trivial groupoids without comment. We pause only to note that in the infinite-dimensional setting, the source and target maps of Fréchet–Lie groupoids must be submersions between Fréchet manifolds, which is a stronger hypothesis than asking the derivative is surjective (or even split) everywhere, as in the finite-dimensional or Banach case.

D.M. Roberts (✉) • R.F. Vozzo
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
e-mail: david.roberts@adelaide.edu.au; raymond.vozzo@adelaide.edu.au

We will also consider groupoids in diffeological spaces. Diffeological spaces (see e.g. [1]) contain Fréchet manifolds as a full subcategory and admit all pullbacks (in fact all finite limits) and form a cartesian closed category such that for K and M smooth manifolds with K compact, the diffeological mapping space M^K is isomorphic to the Fréchet manifold of smooth maps $K \rightarrow M$.

Differentiable stacks are, for us, stacks of groupoids on the site \mathcal{M} of finite-dimensional smooth manifolds with the open cover topology that admit a presentation by a Lie groupoid [2]. We can also consider the more general notion of stacks that admit a presentation by a diffeological or Fréchet–Lie groupoid.

Definition 1 Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be stacks on \mathcal{M} . The *Hom-stack* $\underline{\mathcal{H}\text{om}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ is defined by taking the value on the manifold N to be $\mathbf{Stack}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{Y} \times N, \mathcal{X})$.

Thus we have a Hom-stack for any pair of stacks on \mathcal{M} . The case we are interested in is where we have a differentiable stack \mathcal{X} associated to a Lie groupoid X , e.g. an orbifold, and the resulting Hom-stack $\underline{\mathcal{H}\text{om}}(M, \mathcal{X})$ for M a compact manifold.

We define a *minimal cover* of a manifold M to be a cover by regular closed sets V_i such that the interiors V_i° form an open cover of M , and every V_i° contains a point not in any other V_j° . We also ask that finite intersections $V_i \cap \dots \cap V_k$ are also regular closed. Denote the collection of minimal covers of a manifold M by $C(M)_{\min}$, and note that such covers are cofinal in open covers. Recall that a cover V of a manifold defines a diffeological groupoid $\check{C}(V)$ with objects $\coprod_i V_i$ and arrows $\coprod_{i,j} V_i \cap V_j$.¹ We are particularly interested in the case when we take the closure $\overline{\{U_i\}}$ of $\{U_i\}$, a good open cover, minimal in the above sense.

We denote the arrow groupoid of a Lie groupoid X by X^2 —it is again a Lie groupoid and comes with functors $S, T: X^2 \rightarrow X$, with object components source and target, resp. Let M be a compact manifold with corners and X a Lie groupoid. Define the *mapping groupoid* $\text{Map}(M, X)$ to be the following diffeological groupoid. The object space $\text{Map}(M, X)_0$ is the disjoint union over minimal covers V of the spaces $X^{\check{C}(V)}$ of functors $\check{C}(V) \rightarrow X$. The arrow space $\text{Map}(M, X)_1$ is

$$\coprod_{V_1, V_2 \in C(M)_{\min}} X^{\check{C}(V_1)} \times_{X^{\check{C}(V_{12})}} (X^2)^{\check{C}(V_{12})} \times_{X^{\check{C}(V_{12})}} X^{\check{C}(V_2)}$$

where the chosen minimal refinement $V_{12} \subset V_1 \times_M V_2$ is defined using the boolean product on the algebra of regular closed sets. The maps

$$S, T: (X^2)^{\check{C}(V_{12})} \rightarrow X^{\check{C}(V_{12})} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{\check{C}(V_i)} \rightarrow X^{\check{C}(V_{12})} \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (1)$$

give us a pullback and the two projections

$$X^{\check{C}(V_1)} \times_{X^{\check{C}(V_{12})}} (X^2)^{\check{C}(V_{12})} \times_{X^{\check{C}(V_{12})}} X^{\check{C}(V_2)} \longrightarrow X^{\check{C}(V_i)}, \quad (2)$$

¹We can in what follows safely ignore the issue of intersections of boundaries.

induce, for $i = 1, 2$, the source and target maps for our groupoid resp. Composition in the groupoid is subtle, but is an adaptation of the composition of transformations of anafunctors given in [7]. The proof of the following theorem works exactly as in Theorem 4.2 in [8].

Theorem 2 *For X a Lie groupoid and M a compact manifold the Hom-stack $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(M, X)$ is presented by the diffeological groupoid $\text{Map}(M, X)$. \square*

We need some results that ensure the above constructions give Fréchet manifolds.

Proposition 3 *For M a compact smooth Riemannian manifold (possibly with corners), K a compact regular closed Lipschitz subset of M and N a smooth manifold, the induced restriction map $N^M \rightarrow N^K$ is a submersion of Fréchet manifolds.*

Proof Recall that a submersion of Fréchet manifolds is a smooth map that is locally, for suitable choices of charts, a projection out of a direct summand. This means we have to work locally in charts and show that we have a split surjection of Fréchet spaces. We can reduce this to the case that $N = \mathbb{R}^n$, since the charts are given by spaces of sections of certain vector bundles, and we can consider these spaces locally and patch them together, and thence to $N = \mathbb{R}$. The proof then uses [5, Theorem 3.15], as we can work in charts bi-Lipschitz to flat \mathbb{R}^n , hence reduce to the case of $K \subset \overline{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for B some large open ball. \square

In particular this is true for sets K that are closures of open geodesically convex sets, and even more specifically such open sets that are the finite intersections of geodesically convex charts in a good open cover. We also use a special case of Stacey’s theorem [9, Corollary 5.2]; smooth manifolds with corners are smoothly \mathfrak{T} -compact spaces in Stacey’s sense.

Theorem 4 (Stacey) *Let $N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ be a submersion of finite-dimensional manifolds and K a compact manifold, possibly with corners. Then the induced map of Fréchet manifolds $N_1^K \rightarrow N_2^K$ is a submersion. \square*

The following proposition is the main technical tool in proving the mapping stack is an infinite-dimensional differentiable stack.

Proposition 5 *The diffeological space $X^{\check{C}(V)}$ is a Fréchet manifold.*

Proof First, the diffeological space of functors is isomorphic to the space of simplicial maps $N\check{C}(V) \rightarrow NX$ between the nerves of the groupoids. Then, since the subspaces of degenerate simplices in $N\check{C}(V)$ are disjoint summands, we can remove those, and consider semi-simplicial maps between semisimplicial diffeological spaces instead. Then, since inverses in $\check{C}(V)$ are also disjoint, we can remove those as well, and consider the diffeological space of semisimplicial maps from the ‘nerve’ of the smooth irreflexive partial order $\check{C}^<(V)$ to the nerve of X , considered as a semisimplicial space (where we have chosen an arbitrary total ordering on the finite minimal cover V). This diffeological space is what we show is a Fréchet manifold, by carefully writing the limit as an iterated pullback of diagrams involving maps that are guaranteed to be submersions by Proposition 3 and Theorem 4, and using the fact that X is appropriately coskeletal, i.e. $(NX)_n = X_1 \times_{X_0} \dots \times_{X_0} X_1$. The original

space of functors is then a diffeological space isomorphic to this Fréchet manifold, hence is a Fréchet manifold. \square

Lemma 6 *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a functor between Lie groupoids with object and arrow components submersions, and $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a refinement of minimal covers. Then the induced map $X^{\check{C}(V_2)} \rightarrow Y^{\check{C}(V_1)}$ is a submersion between Fréchet manifolds.* \square

We will consider the special cases that the functor $X \rightarrow Y$ is the identity, and also that the refinement $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is the identity.

Theorem 7 *For a Lie groupoid X and compact manifold M , $\text{Map}(M, X)$ is a Fréchet–Lie groupoid.*

Proof The object space $\text{Map}(M, X)_0$ is a manifold by Proposition 5. The arrow space $\text{Map}(M, X)_1$ is a manifold since it is given by a pullback diagram built with the maps (1), which are submersions by Lemma 6. The identity map is smooth, as it is a smooth map between diffeological spaces that happen to be manifolds, and so is composition. \square

The following theorem is the first main result of the paper. The proof uses the technique of [6, Theorem 4.2] as adapted in [8], where it is shown that all of the constructions remain smooth.

Theorem 8 *For a Lie groupoid X and compact manifold M , the stack $\underline{\mathcal{H}\text{om}}(M, \mathcal{X})$ is weakly presented by the Fréchet–Lie groupoid $\text{Map}(M, X)$.* \square

A weak presentation means that the pullback of the map $\text{Map}(M, X)_0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{H}\text{om}}(M, \mathcal{X})$ against itself gives a stack representable by the Fréchet manifold $\text{Map}(M, X)_1$, and the two projections are submersions. For the site of diffeological spaces, a weak presentation is an ordinary presentation. This is also the case if we allow non-Hausdorff manifolds [2, Proposition 2.2], so we either have to pay the price of a weak presentation or working over a site of non-Hausdorff manifolds. If the groupoid $\text{Map}(M, X)$ is *proper*, as in Theorem 10 below, then we can upgrade this weak presentation to an ordinary one over Hausdorff manifolds.

Definition 9 A (Fréchet–)Lie groupoid Z is *proper* if the map $(s, t): Z_1 \rightarrow Z_0 \times Z_0$ is a proper map (i.e. closed with compact fibres), *étale* if the source and target maps are local diffeomorphisms, and an *orbifold groupoid* if it is a proper and étale.

It is a theorem of Moerdijk–Pronk that orbifold groupoids are equivalent to orbifolds defined in terms of orbifold charts—in finite dimensions—if we ignore issues of effectivity (which we do, for now). For infinite dimensional orbifolds this is not yet known, but may be possible for Fréchet–Lie groupoids with local additions on their object and arrow manifolds, of which our construction is an example.

Our second main result is then:

Theorem 10 *If X is an étale Lie groupoid, then $\text{Map}(M, X)$ is étale. If X is an orbifold groupoid, then $\text{Map}(M, X)$ is an orbifold groupoid.*

Proof Stability of local diffeomorphisms under pullback mean that we only need to show that the smooth maps $S^{\check{C}(V)}, T^{\check{C}(V)}: (X^2)^{\check{C}(V)} \rightarrow X^{\check{C}(V)}$, for any minimal cover V , are local diffeomorphisms. If X is an étale Lie groupoid then the fibres of its

source and target maps are discrete, and one can show that $S^{\check{C}(V)}$, $T^{\check{C}(V)}$ have discrete diffeological spaces as fibres. But these maps are submersions of Fréchet manifolds, hence are local diffeomorphisms.

Properness follows if we can show that (s, t) for the mapping groupoid is closed and every object has a finite automorphism group. This reduces to showing that $(S, T)^{\check{C}(V)}$ is closed and its fibres are finite. We can show the latter by again working in the diffeological category and showing that the fibres of $(S, T)^{\check{C}(V)}$ are discrete, and also a subspace of a finite diffeological space. As all the spaces involved are metrisable, we use a sequential characterisation of closedness together with the local structure of the proper étale groupoid X , and find an appropriate convergent subsequence in the required space of natural transformations. \square

Acknowledgements This research was supported under the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme (project numbers DP120100106 and DP130102578).

References

1. Baez, J.C., Hoffnung, A.: Convenient categories of smooth spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **363**(11), 5789–5825 (2011). [arXiv:0807.1704](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.1704)
2. Behrend, K., Xu, P.: Differentiable stacks and gerbes. *J. Symplectic Geom.* **9**(3), 285–341 (2011). [arXiv:math/0605694](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0605694)
3. Borzellino, J.E., Brunsten, V.: The stratified structure of spaces of smooth orbifold mappings. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **15**(5), 1350018, 37 (2013). [arXiv:0810.1070](https://arxiv.org/abs/0810.1070)
4. Chen, W.: On a notion of maps between orbifolds I. *Function spaces. Commun. Contemp. Math.* **8**(5), 569–620 (2006). [arXiv:math/0603671](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603671)
5. Frerick, L.: Extension operators for spaces of infinite differentiable Whitney jets. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **602**, 123–154 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2007.005>
6. Noohi, B.: Mapping stacks of topological stacks. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **646**, 117–133 (2010). [arXiv:0809.2373](https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.2373)
7. Roberts, D.M.: Internal categories, anafunctors and localisation. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **26**(29), 788–829 (2012). [arXiv:1101.2363](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.2363)
8. Roberts, D.M., Vozzo, R.F.: Smooth loop stacks of differentiable stacks and gerbes (2016). Preprint. [arXiv:1602.07973](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07973)
9. Stacey, A.: Yet more smooth mapping spaces and their smoothly local properties (2013). Preprint. [arXiv:1301.5493](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5493)
10. Weinmann, T.: Orbifolds in the framework of Lie groupoids. Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich (2007). <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-005540169>